



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/881,965	05/16/1997	ANDREW J. KUZMA	42390.P1901R	3620

7590

12/18/2001

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

LEE, RICHARD J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

19

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

132

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/881,965

Applicant(s)

Kuzma

Examiner

Richard Lee

Group Art Unit
2613



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Lee

(3) _____

(2) Libby Hope

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 16, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 39

Identification of prior art discussed:

Nonoshita et al

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Examiner explained more clearly how the encoder and compression circuitry features of claim 39 read on the applied Nonoshita et al reference, but however no definite conclusion was reached. The Examiner informed Ms. Hope that if claim 21 is to be canceled as indicated in the remarks section of the amendment filed October 15, 2001, then a supplemental amendment needs to be filed. Ms. Hope will discuss with the applicant whether other amendment(s) to the claim(s) is/are deemed necessary to be filed via the supplemental amendment in view of our discussions. The Examiner is awaiting a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

RICHARD LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2613